1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

CARMEN L. CLARK,

Plaintiff,

v.

ERIC YOUNG; MISTY YOUNG,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 3:23-cv-5369

DISMISSAL ORDER

Pro se Plaintiff Carmen L. Clark pursues this case in forma pauperis (IFP). Dkt. No. 4. After reviewing the operative complaint under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B), the Court found that Clark failed to state a claim on which relief may be granted. Dkt. No. 10. Rather than dismissing her case, the Court granted leave to amend the complaint and ordered Clark to submit an amended complaint within 30 days. *Id*. The Court expressly warned that "[f]ailure to comply with this Order will result in dismissal of this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)." *Id*. at 4.

The time to respond has passed. Clark has not complied with the Court's Order to submit an amended complaint. *See* Dkt. As such, the Court DISMISSES

	1
9	2
,	3
4	4
į	5
(	6
,	7
ć	8
9	9
1(	О
1	1
1:	2
1;	3
1	4
1	5
1(	6
1′	
18	
19	9
20	C
2	1
$2^{\circ}$	2

23

this action without prejudice under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B) for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

It is so ORDERED.

Dated this 23rd day of December, 2024.

Jamal N. Whitehead United States District Judge